

QUASI-SUPERSYMMETRY IN TOP SECTOR OF THE STANDARD MODEL

B. B. Deo and L. P. Singh

Department of Physics

Utkal University

Vani Vihar

Bhubaneswar 751 004, India

Abstract

We show the existence of quasi-supersymmetry as formulated by Nambu in the top-sector of the standard model. We present the explicit form of the quasi-supersymmetric charge. We also deduce, like Nambu, a quasi supersymmetric mass relation which is the same as suggested by Veltman.

PACS Index : 11.30Pb, 12.60Nz

Typeset using REVTeX

Higgs fields provide the only missing link in the standard model of Glashow, Weinberg and Salam which has satisfied the experimental tests to a high degree of accuracy. To cure the standard model of this deficiency, Nambu [1] has been pursuing the idea of bootstrap symmetry breaking mechanism for last few years wherein the Higgs boson itself is the cause of the attractive interaction between fermions that leads to symmetry breaking and dynamical generation of Higgs as a Cooper pair bound state of fermions through a BCS mechanism. In this picture, there are fermionic and bosonic low-energy excitations. The bosonic excitations exist in Goldstone (π) and Higgs (σ) modes. It is a characteristic of BCS mechanism that the Goldstone, fermion and Higgs modes satisfy simple mass relations

$$m_\pi : m_f : m_\sigma = 0 : \Delta : 2\Delta = 0 : 1 : 2$$

where Δ is the energy gap parameter.

These low energy modes can be represented by Ginzburg-Landau-Gell-Mann-Levy Hamiltonian in which the boson self-coupling and the boson-fermion Yukawa coupling are related so as to satisfy the above mass ratio. Nambu has further shown that the static part of GL Hamiltonian can be factored as an anticommutator of fermionic operators as

$$\bar{H}_{st} = [\bar{Q}, \bar{Q}^+]_+ \quad (1)$$

much like in supersymmetry. (The bar indicates spatial integral.) Since the Q s and Q^+ s are not nilpotent, Nambu characterises such theories as quasi-supersymmetric. Eventhough, it is not clear why the BCS-mechanism should have a built-in quasi-supersymmetry, the above factorisation also works in relativistic field theories.

It is crucial in these constructions, as one learns by working out the anticommutators explicitly, that the fermionic and bosonic degrees of freedom must match because the fermion kinetic energy comes weighted by number of boson field contractions and boson kinetic energy piece receives its weightage from the number of fermion field contractions [2].

We, in what follows, wish to provide an explicit example of the above ideas using the standard electroweak unification model. We assume, following Nambu [3], that the Higgs boson interacts strongly with top quark only so that it can be a composite of top quark (t) and anti-top quark ($\bar{t}$). The top quark and the Higgs bosons play a key role in the electroweak unification and their interactions deserve a separate attention. This should make good sense now that the top quark is found to be very heavy implying large Yukawa coupling and hence strong top-top interaction. The BCS quasi-supersymmetry, as stated earlier, predicts a 2:1 mass ratio between the Higgs and the top quark. The bootstrap condition is formulated by requiring cancellation of quadratic divergence of the tadpole diagrams representing Higgs induced attractive interaction among fermions and the contribution of Higgs and gauge boson loops. The logic for requirement of cancellation of quadratic divergence being that eventhough the Salam-Weinberg theory is the low energy effective theory of a more fundamental theory without Higgs, it should be independent of the underlying high-energy scale carried by the quadratic cut-off. The absence of quadratic and logarithmic divergences leads to two kinds of mass relations involving the masses of top quark, Higgs and gauge bosons like [4],

$$12m_t^2 = 3m_H^2 + 3m_Z^2 + 6m_W^2 \quad (2)$$

and

$$12m_t^4 = \frac{3}{2}m_H^4 + 3m_Z^4 + 6m_W^4 \quad (3)$$

A more constraining mass relation has also been obtained by Deo and Maharana [5] as

$$12m_t^4 = m_H^4 + 3m_Z^4 + 6m_W^4 \quad (4)$$

requiring the vanishing of quadratic divergence and cosmological constant upto one-loop. One solution of the above mass relation gives Higgs mass to be nearly the twice of top quark mass reminding us of the underlying BCS quasi-supersymmetry. Such a guess is further supported by the fact that in a theory involving Higgs fields, gauge fields and the top sector of the quark fields, there is an exact match of fermionic and bosonic degrees of freedom as top quarks have twelve degrees of freedom, four vector mesons have eight and the a complex Higgs scalar doublet has four degrees of freedom.

We now proceed to demonstrate factorisability of the top sector of the standard model Hamiltonian in terms of supersymmetric charges.

To set up the notations, we first note that the free Hamiltonian density of the t-sector of the standard model has the following form

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_g + \mathcal{H}_q + \mathcal{H}_s \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_g$, $\mathcal{H}_q$ and $\mathcal{H}_s$ are the Hamiltonian densities involving the gauge fields, quarks and scalar fields respectively which in turn have the following form in temporal gauge ($W_0^i = 0$ and $Z_0 = 0$);

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_g &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1,2,3} (\vec{E}_i^2 + \vec{B}_i^2) + (\vec{\mathcal{E}}^2 + \vec{\mathcal{B}}^2) \right] \\ \mathcal{H}_q &= i \sum_{a=B,Y,R} \sum_{i=L,R} t_i^{a+} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{D}_i t_i^a \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_s = \pi^+ \pi + (\vec{D}\Phi)^+ \cdot (\vec{D}\Phi) + V_{cl} \quad (6)$$

In Eqn. (6) $\vec{E}_i$, $\vec{B}_i$ and $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ refer to electric and magnetic fields of the SU(2) gauge bosons $W_{i=1,2,3}$ and U(1) gauge boson Z , $t_{L,R}$ refer to left-handed and right-handed t -quark, a stands for colour indices blue (B), yellow (Y) and red (R) and finally Φ stands for a complex Higgs doublet (ϕ, φ) and π for its conjugate momenta. The V_{cl} is given by $V_{cl} = \lambda(|\Phi|^2 - \sigma^2/2)^2 \equiv W^2$ where $\sigma = 246 \text{ GeV}$ is the electro-weak symmetry breaking scale.

The covariant derivatives $\vec{D}_L$, $\vec{D}_R$ and $\vec{D}$ acting on left handed t -quark, right-handed t -quark and Higgs field Φ respectively, have the form,

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{D}_L &= \left(\vec{\nabla} + \frac{ig'}{6} \vec{Z} + \frac{ig}{2} \vec{W}_3 \right) \\
\vec{D}_R &= \left(\vec{\nabla} + \frac{2ig'}{3} \vec{Z} \right) \\
\vec{D} &= \left(\vec{\nabla} + \frac{ig'}{2} \vec{Z} + \frac{ig}{2} \tau_i \vec{W}_i \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

σ_i and τ_i are Pauli matrices, designated differently as they operate in spin and weak-isospin space respectively.

The supersymmetric charge Q_α whose anticommutator with its hermitian conjugate $Q_\alpha^\dagger$ (here sum over index α i.e. trace of anticommutator matrix is implied) correctly reproduces the Hamiltonian given by equations (5) and (6) is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= (\pi_\phi + \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} + \frac{ig}{2} \vec{W}_3 + \frac{ig'}{2} \vec{Z}) \phi^+ + \frac{ig}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{W}_- \varphi^+) t_L'^Y \\
&+ (\pi_\varphi + \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} - \frac{ig}{2} \vec{W}_3 + \frac{ig'}{2} \vec{Z}) \varphi^+ + \frac{ig}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{W}_+ \phi^+) t_L'^B \\
&+ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}_{3+} t_L'^R + \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\vec{F}_{1+} + i\vec{F}_{2+}) t_R'^Y + \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\vec{F}_{1+} - i\vec{F}_{2+}) t_R'^B \\
&+ (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}_+ + \eta W) t_R'^R
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where π_ϕ and π_φ are momenta conjugate to fields ϕ and φ . $t_{L,R}'$ are Wilson line-transformed left-handed and right-handed t-quark fields defined as,

$$\begin{aligned}
t_L'(x) &= \exp\left[\frac{ig}{2} \int_0^x \vec{W}_3 \cdot d\vec{Y} + \frac{ig'}{6} \int_0^x \vec{Z} \cdot d\vec{Y}\right] t_L(x) \\
\text{and } t_R'(x) &= \exp\left[i\frac{2g'}{3} \int_0^x \vec{Z} \cdot d\vec{Y}\right] t_R(x) \\
\text{and } |\eta| &= 1
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Finally $\vec{F}_{i+} = (\vec{E}_i + i\vec{B}_i)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\vec{F}_+ = (\vec{\mathcal{E}} + i\vec{\mathcal{B}})/\sqrt{2}$ are linear combinations of electric and magnetic fields produced by the gauge fields $\vec{W}_i$ and Z respectively. The Wilson lines are introduced as prescribed by Nambu [6] to recover the covariant derivatives in the Hamiltonian. Thus

$$[\bar{Q}_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\alpha^+]_+ = 2H \tag{10}$$

where $H = \int d^3x \mathcal{H}$.

Going a step further, we find that for $W = 0$ the anticommutator of $\bar{Q}_\alpha$ with $\bar{Q}_\beta^+$ (i.e. the full anticommutator 2×2 matrix not just its trace) yields,

$$[\bar{Q}_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta^+]_+ = (\sigma_\mu P_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \tag{11}$$

where σ_0 is just the unit matrix and P_0 is the Hamiltonian. $\vec{P}$ denotes the three-momentum vector deduced from the Lagrangian which contains only the top quark, vector bosons and the Higgs doublet.

In arriving at eqn. (11) we have used the well known trick of writing [7]

$$(D\phi)_i^+(D\phi)_j = \lim_{i \rightarrow j} \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}_j S(x, y) \quad (12)$$

where the nonlocal string operator $S(x, y)$ has the form

$$S(x, y) = \phi^+(y) \exp[i\alpha \int_x^y \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\zeta}] \phi(x). \quad (13)$$

where $\vec{A}$ stands for a generic gauge field. Thus the cross term of the form $\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{D}\phi)^+ \times (\vec{D}\phi)$ obtained in computation of the anticommutator is written as $\epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_i (\vec{D}\phi)_j (\vec{D}\phi)_k$ can be seen to vanish with the use of eqn. (12) and eqn. (11) results.

Finally we wish to derive the mass sum rule (Eqn. 2) by adding the Yukawa term to scalar part of the Hamiltonian such that the potential in the top sector in the broken $SU(2) \times U(1)$ phase with $\Phi = (0, 0, 0, \chi/\sqrt{2})$ (χ real) becomes

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\chi^2 - \sigma^2)^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2 W_{\mu+} W_{\mu-} \chi^2 + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2)}{8} Z_\mu^2 \chi^2 + g_y(t_L^+ t_R + t_R^+ t_L) \chi \quad (14)$$

It needs to be pointed out here that the Yukawa term in Eq. (14) is quasi-SUSY invariant. This invariance is easily verified by using the standard variation formula for fermion fields $\Psi(t_L, t_R)$ and scalar field χ as

$$\delta\Psi_L^+ = [\bar{\epsilon} \cdot Q, \Psi_L^+] = \bar{\epsilon}_\alpha [Q_\alpha, \Psi_L^+]_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\chi = [\bar{\epsilon} \cdot Q, \chi] = \bar{\epsilon}_\alpha [Q_\alpha, \chi]$$

with Q given by Eq. (8). The two dimensional equivalence of $\gamma_0 \vec{\gamma} \Psi_{L,R}$ with $\pm \vec{\sigma} \Psi_{L,R}$ and the mass term $\bar{\Psi} \Psi \longrightarrow \Psi_L^+ \Psi_R + \Psi_R^+ \Psi_L$ in two component notation is used. The mass operator squares for Higgs and Goldstone bosons are, as usual, given by

$$m_H^2 = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \chi^2} = 3\lambda\chi^2 - \lambda\sigma^2$$

$$m_G^2 = \lambda(\chi^2 - \sigma^2) \quad (15)$$

leading to sum of mass operator squares of spin-0 particles (one Higgs and three Goldstone bosons)

$$\sum m_0^2 = 6\lambda\chi^2 - 4\lambda\sigma^2 \quad (16)$$

Similarly sum of mass operator squares of spin-1 particles (2W's and 1Z) and of spin-1/2 particles (3 coloured t-quark and 3 coloured $\bar{t}$ -quark) can be obtained as

$$\sum m_1^2 = g^2 \chi^2 / 2 + (g^2 + g'^2)^{1/2} \chi^2 / 4 \quad (17)$$

and

$$\sum m_{1/2}^2 = 6g_y^2 \chi^2 \quad (18)$$

In order to eliminate the various coupling constants we scale the fields like

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \chi'/(2\lambda)^{1/2}, W_{1,2} = (2\lambda)^{1/4}W'_{1,2}/g \\ Z &= Z'(2\lambda)^{1/4}/(g^2 + g'^2)^{1/2}, t_{L,R} = (2\lambda)^{1/8}t'_{L,R}/g_y^{1/2}\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

and obtain the scaled potential as

$$V' = \frac{1}{8}(\chi'^2 - (2\lambda)^{1/2}\sigma^2)^2 + \chi^2 W'_{\mu-} W'_{\mu+} + \frac{1}{2}Z'^2_{\mu}\chi'^2 + (t'^+_L t'_R + t'^+_R t'_L)\chi' \quad (20)$$

Now, we obtain, in the usual notation,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum m_0'^2 &= 3\chi'^2 - 2(2\lambda)^{1/2}\sigma^2 \\ \sum m_1'^2 &= 2\chi'^2(W) + \chi'^2(Z) \\ \sum m_{1/2}'^2 &= 6\chi'^2\end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi'^2}(\sum m_0'^2 + 3\sum m_1'^2 - 2\sum m_{1/2}'^2) = 0 \quad (21)$$

It is interesting to note that this sum rule is reminiscent of the supersymmetric one obtained by Ferrara, Girardello and Palumbo [8] like

$$\sum_J (-1)^{2J} (2J+1) M_J^2 = 0 \quad (22)$$

Noting the invariance of traces of the mass operator square sum-rule for both primed and unprimed states which are scaled with respect to each other by constant factors, we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^2} [\sum m_0^2(\chi) + 3\sum m_1^2(\chi) - 2\sum m_{1/2}^2(\chi)] = 0 \quad (23)$$

The above relation implies the following relation between the coupling constants :

$$6\lambda + 3(g^2/2 + (g^2 + g'^2)^{1/2}/4) - 12g_y^2 = 0 \quad (24)$$

Multiplying σ^2 to the above relation and using

$$m_H^2 = 2\lambda\sigma^2, m_W^2 = g^2\sigma^2/4, m_Z^2 = (g^2 + g'^2)^{1/2}\sigma^2/4 \text{ and } m_t^2 = g_y^2\sigma^2$$

one immediately obtains the required mass sum rule of Veltman [9], as a consequence of factorisability of classical potential as in case of supersymmetric theory,

$$12m_t^2 = 3m_H^2 + 3m_Z^2 + 6m_W^2 \quad (25)$$

For large Higgs mass, $m_H \simeq 2m_t$ typical of a $\langle \bar{t}t \rangle$ condensate.

Our derivation of Veltman sum rule follows the elegant method of Einhorn and Jones [10]. There is, by assumption, no $\langle \bar{b}b \rangle$ condensate. The Higgs boson and the breaking of the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry is due to $\langle \bar{t}t \rangle$ condensate [11]. Therefore, it is the top sector which has been considered in this letter.

Eqn. (11) being in the form of exact supersymmetry algebra, signifies existence of an underlying supersymmetry in the top-sector of the standard Weinberg-Salam model. This symmetry, as we showed, also provides the underlying reason for the Veltman-like mass square sum rule in the sector under consideration. In the absence of any experimental confirmation of existence of supersymmetric particles, existence of such a deeper symmetry as explicitly exhibited by us, principally originating from matching of fermionic and bosonic degrees of freedom in certain sector of a model deserves serious attention as a viable alternative picture.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Nambu, EFI preprint 90-37, Okubo Festschrift (1990).
- [2] Y. Nambu, Supersymmetry and Quasi-supersymmetry, Essay in honour of M. Gell-Mann (1991).
- [3] Y. Nambu, EFI preprint 90-46, R. Dalitz Festschrift (1990); EFI preprint 89-08 (unpublished).
- [4] See for example Ref. 1.
- [5] B. B. Deo and L. Maharana, Phys. Lett. **B323**, (1994) 417.
- [6] Y. Nambu, BCS mechanism, Quasi-supersymmetry and fermion masses (unpublished).
- [7] See for example H. Bohr and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. **D32**, (1985), 1547.
- [8] S. Ferrara, L. Giradello and F. Palumbo, Phys. Rev, **D20**, (1979), 403.
- [9] M. Veltman, Acta Phys. Polon, **B12**, (1984), 437.
- [10] M. B. Einhorn and D. R. T. Jones, Phys. Rev. D **46**, (1992) 5206.
- [11] See for example J. D. Wells, Phys. Rev. D **56**, (1996), 1504; W. A. Bardeen, C. T. Hill and M. Lindner, Phys. Rev., **D 41**, (1990), 2647.